



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

MASTER AND SERVANT—INJURY TO SERVANT—DEFECTIVE APPLIANCES—EVIDENCE.—*LANDERS v. QUINCEY, O. & K. C. R. Co.*, 137 SOUTHWESTERN, 605 (KAN.).—*Held*, that where in an action for injuries to a section hand from a hand car, the evidence showing that the car had been in practically the same condition for a long time before and after the accident, evidence that the car was in a bad condition after the injury was admissible.

There is a conflict of opinion among the courts as to the proposition under consideration, some holding that if the condition of an appliance is substantially the same before and after an accident, evidence of that condition is admissible as bearing on its condition at the time of the injury, *Keim v. R. R. Co.*, 90 Mo., 314; *Wharton's Crim. Ev.*, §767; *Gandy v. C. & Northwestern R. Co.*, 30 Iowa, 422; and the English courts are in accord, *Aldrige v. Great Western R. R.*, 3 M. & G., 515; *Piggott v. Eastern R. R. Co.*, 10 Jurist, 571, and so when a house was burned by sparks from an engine, evidence that they had fallen often before was held admissible, *Sheldon v. Hudson River R. R. Co.*, 14 N. Y. 218. Other American states, however, hold that in actions for injuries evidence of the condition of a railroad line before and after the accident was properly excluded, *Reed v. R. R. Co.*, 45 N. Y., 574, and proof that fires were set in woods by sparks from engines before and after a given fire was not admitted as showing negligence at that particular time, *R. R. Co. v. Yeiser*, 8 Pa. St., 366, and even when no given time was alleged was such evidence admitted, *Balt. & Susque. R. R. Co. v. Woodruff*, 4 Md., 254, and one state admits such proof, but confines the evidence to the immediate locality of the accident, *Ry. v. Huntley*, 38 Mich., 537.

JURISDICTION—ASSUMPTION BY COURT OF EQUITY—EXTENT.—*SPENCE v. MINER, SHERIFF ET AL.*, 131 N. W., 1044 (NEB.).—*Held*, that where a county court appointed a guardian for the estate of an insane person, it is his duty to take entire charge of the estate, as an officer of court, and in so doing he vests the court with exclusive original jurisdiction.

The case under consideration is in accord with the doctrine that when equity assumes jurisdiction of an estate, it takes the whole and not a part of the administration, and thus vests itself with exclusive jurisdiction, *Winslow v. Leland*, 128 Ill., 304, and so it has been held that a court may thus determine exclusively the equitable rights of a ward, *Commonwealth v. Roser*, 62 Pa., 436; *McCreery's Appeal*, 31 P. L. J. (O. S.), 230. The case, however, is in conflict with the general rule that an incompetent may be sued at law after inquisition and the appointment of a guardian. *Beverley's Case*, 4 Coke, 124; 1 *Tidd's Practice*, 93, note b; 1 *Arch. Practice*, 25; for the existence of a guardian does not take away such a defendant's legal capacity to be sued, *Sterling v. Schoolcraft*, 2 Barb. (N. Y.), 153; *Ibbotson v. Lord Galway*, 6 Term. R., 133; *Cock v. Bell*, 13 East, 355, though the guardian must be joined in the action and leave of court obtained, *Williams v. Cameron*, 26 Barb. (N. Y.), 172; *Niblo v. Harrison*, 9 Bosw. (N. Y.), 668.